

1675 Early Response (Molecular and Cytogenetic) and Long-Term Outcomes in Newly Diagnosed Chronic Myeloid Leukemia in Chronic Phase (CML-CP): Exploratory Analysis of DASISION 3-Year Data

Program: Oral and Poster Abstracts

Session: 632. Chronic Myeloid Leukemia - Therapy: Poster I

Saturday, December 8, 2012, 5:30 PM-7:30 PM

Hall B1-B2, Level 1, Building B (Georgia World Congress Center)

Giuseppe Saglio, MD¹, Hagop M. Kantarjian, MD², Neil Shah, MD, PhD³, Elias J. Jabbour, MD², Alfonso Quintas-Cardama, MD^{2*}, **Juan Luis Steegmann, MD, PhD⁴**, **Concepción Boqué, MD^{5*}**, Charles Chuah, MD^{6*}, Carolina Pavlovsky, MD^{7*}, Jiří Mayer, MD⁸, Jon Ukropec, PhD^{9*}, Mark Wildgust, PhD^{9*} and Andreas Hochhaus, MD¹⁰

¹Department of Clinical and Biological Sciences, San Luigi Gonzaga Hospital, University of Turin, Orbassano, Turin, Italy

²MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX

³University of California, San Francisco School of Medicine, San Francisco, CA

⁴Department of Hematology and IIS-IP, Hospital Universitario de la Princesa, Madrid, Spain

⁵Department of Clinical Haematology, Institut Catala d'Oncologia, L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain

⁶Department of Hematology, Singapore General Hospital, Cancer and Stem Cell Biology Program, Duke-NUS Graduate Medical School, Singapore

⁷FUNDALEU, Angelica Ocampo Hospitalization and Clinical Research Center, Buenos Aires, Argentina

⁸Internal Medicine, Hematology and Oncology, University Hospital Brno, Brno, Czech Republic

⁹Bristol-Myers Squibb, Princeton, NJ

¹⁰Department of Hematology and Oncology, Universitätsklinikum Jena, Jena, Germany

Background: In the randomized phase 3 DASISION trial in patients (pts) with newly diagnosed CML-CP, dasatinib 100 mg once daily (QD) demonstrated improved efficacy over imatinib 400 mg QD and an acceptable tolerability profile (NEJM 2010 362 2260). At 3 years (y) pts achieved high rates of progression free survival (PFS) (91% both arms) and overall survival (OS) (94%, dasatinib; 93%, imatinib). Compared with pts receiving imatinib, pts receiving dasatinib achieved higher rates of cytogenetic and molecular responses, shorter time to responses, and fewer transformations to accelerated/blast phase (AP/BP) (JCO 2012 30 6504). Marin et al reported that BCR-ABL levels at 3 and 6 months (mo) with imatinib were significantly correlated with 8-y PFS and OS (JCO 2012 30 232), and Hanfstein et al proposed BCR-ABL levels of 10% at 3 mo and 1% at 6 mo as clinically important landmarks correlated with 5-y PFS and OS (Leukemia 2012 Epub). The aim of this exploratory analysis was to investigate the impact of early molecular and cytogenetic response on the outcome of pts enrolled in the DASISION trial.

Methods: Pts with CML-CP were randomized to receive dasatinib 100 mg QD (n=259) or imatinib 400 mg QD (n=260). Methods have been previously reported (NEJM 2010

362 2260). PFS and OS rates were obtained from Kaplan-Meier estimates. Qualifying events for PFS included: increasing white blood cells, loss of complete hematologic response or major cytogenetic response, transformation to AP/BP, or death.

Results: More dasatinib v imatinib pts achieved a partial cytogenetic response (PCyR) or complete CyR (CCyR) at 3 mo (81% v 67%) and at 6 mo (91% v 81%). Significantly higher rates of 3-y PFS were observed in pts with PCyR/CCyR at 3 mo ($P < .0001$, $P = .0026$ for dasatinib, imatinib) or at 6 mo ($P = .0172$, $P < .0001$). Of pts with 3-mo molecular analyses (235 dasatinib, 239 imatinib), more pts treated with dasatinib achieved BCR-ABL $\leq 10\%$ (84% v 64%) or $\leq 1\%$ (48% v 13%). Of pts with 6-mo molecular analyses (236 dasatinib, 236 imatinib), more pts treated with dasatinib achieved BCR-ABL $\leq 10\%$ (89% v 83%) or $\leq 1\%$ (70% v 50%). Pts with BCR-ABL $\leq 10\%$ at 3 mo had significantly better 3-y PFS (dasatinib: 93.1% v 68.2%, $P = .0003$; imatinib: 95.9% v 75.3%, $P < .0001$) and OS (dasatinib: 95.9% v 85.9%, $P = .0348$; imatinib: 96.0% v 88.0%, $P = .0036$). Pts with BCR-ABL $\leq 1\%$ at 6 mo had significantly better PFS (dasatinib: 94.9% v 84.6%, $P = .0020$; imatinib: 97.4% v 83.8%, $P = .0016$) and with imatinib significantly better OS (97.4% v 93.6%, $P = .0215$). The difference between BCR-ABL $\leq 1\%$ v $> 1-10\%$ at 6 mo was not significant. Pts with BCR-ABL $\leq 10\%$ and $\leq 1\%$ at 3 and 6 mo had a lower risk of transformation within 3 y (Table); transformation was associated with a high risk of death (overall 50% mortality within 7 mo). In addition, pts receiving imatinib who had a poor response at 3 mo (BCR-ABL $> 10\%$) who achieved $\leq 10\%$ at 6 mo still had a higher risk of transformation in comparison with those who achieved an initial deeper response at 3 mo. However, no patient on dasatinib with BCR-ABL $> 10\%$ at 3 mo and $\leq 10\%$ at 6 mo transformed.

Conclusions: More pts treated with dasatinib achieved a faster, deeper cytogenetic and molecular response, which was associated with better 3-y outcomes and lower risk of transformation to AP/BP. The clinical importance of achieving deeper levels of cytogenetic response (CCyR) at 6 mo will be presented. Early response landmarks may identify pts at higher risk for transformation, poor outcome, and those who may benefit from alternate treatments to improve responses and thereby minimize exposure to risk over time. More pts starting on imatinib compared with dasatinib transformed to AP/BP than those receiving dasatinib. These exploratory data highlight the clinical importance of a fast and deep response, with the potential to reduce the risk of transformation and improve long-term outcomes. OS data at 3 y in DASISION are immature and longer-term follow up is planned.

Table: Landmark analysis of transformation to AP/BP within 3 y based on BCR-ABL level

	Relative risk of transformation	
	Hazard ratio [95%CI]	
	Pts transformed, n/N	
BCR-ABL level	Dasatinib	Imatinib
	100 mg QD	400 mg QD
At 3 mo		
>10% v ≤10%	6 [2, 20] 5/37 v 6/198	6 [2, 19] 11/85 v 4/154
>1% v ≤1%	3 [1, 10] 8/123 v 3/112	- - 15/207 v 0/32
At 6 mo		
>10% v ≤10%	13 [3, 46] 5/26 v 5/210	10 [3, 31] 7/41 v 5/197
>1% v ≤1%	6 [2, 24] 7/72 v 3/164	12 [2, 94] 11/121 v 1/117

“-” indicates no pts transformed in one of the groups so the hazard ratio could not be calculated

Disclosures: Saglio: *Bristol-Myers Squibb*: Consultancy, Speakers Bureau. Kantarjian: *Bristol-Myers Squibb*: Research Funding. Shah: *Novartis*: Consultancy; *Bristol-Myers Squibb*, *Ariad*: Consultancy, Research Funding. Jabbour: *Bristol-Myers Squibb*, *Novartis*: Honoraria; *Pfizer* : Consultancy. Quintas-Cardama: *Bristol-Myers Squibb*: Consultancy; *Novartis*: Consultancy. Steegmann: *Bristol-Myers Squibb*: Consultancy, Research Funding, Speakers Bureau. Boqué: *Novartis*: Consultancy; *Bristol-Myers Squibb*: Consultancy. Chuah: *Novartis*, *Bristol-Myers Squibb*: Honoraria. Pavlovsky: *Bristol-Myers Squibb*: Research Funding, Speakers Bureau; *Novartis*: Research Funding, Speakers Bureau. Mayer: *Bristol-Myers Squibb*: Consultancy, Research Funding, Speakers Bureau; *Novartis* : Consultancy, Research Funding, Speakers Bureau. Ukropec: *Bristol-Myers Squibb*: Employment. Wildgust: *Bristol-Myers Squibb*:

Employment, Equity Ownership. Hochhaus: *Pfizer, ARIAD, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Novartis*; Research Funding; *Pfizer, ARIAD, Bristol-Myers Squibb, MSD, Novartis*; Consultancy.